

Uniform Mitigation Verification Inspection Form

Maintain a copy of this form and any documentation provided with the insurance policy

Inspection Date: <u>7-30-18</u>	
Owner Information	
Owner Name: <u>Watermark Condominiums</u>	Contact Person: <u>Donna Maupin</u>
Address: <u>401 N. Atlantic Avenue</u>	Home Phone: <u>-</u>
City: <u>New Smyrna Beach</u> Zip: <u>32169</u>	Work Phone: <u>386-428-3793</u>
County: <u>Volusia</u>	Cell Phone: <u>-</u>
Insurance Company:	Policy #:
Year of Home: <u>1980</u>	# of Stories: <u>6</u>
	Email: <u>Watermark 401 @ hotmail.com</u>

NOTE: Any documentation used in validating the compliance or existence of each construction or mitigation attribute must accompany this form. At least one photograph must accompany this form to validate each attribute marked in questions 3 through 7. The insurer may ask additional questions regarding the mitigated feature(s) verified on this form.

1. **Building Code:** Was the structure built in compliance with the Florida Building Code (FBC 2001 or later) OR for homes located in the HVHZ (Miami-Dade or Broward counties), South Florida Building Code (SFBC-94)?

- A. Built in compliance with the FBC: Year Built 1980. For homes built in 2002/2003 provide a permit application with a date after 3/1/2002: Building Permit Application Date (MM/DD/YYYY) / /
- B. For the HVHZ Only: Built in compliance with the SFBC-94: Year Built . For homes built in 1994, 1995, and 1996 provide a permit application with a date after 9/1/1994: Building Permit Application Date (MM/DD/YYYY) / /
- C. Unknown or does not meet the requirements of Answer "A" or "B"

2. **Roof Covering:** Select all roof covering types in use. Provide the permit application date OR FBC/MDC Product Approval number OR Year of Original Installation/Replacement OR indicate that no information was available to verify compliance for each roof covering identified.

2.1 Roof Covering Type:	Permit Application Date	FBC or MDC Product Approval #	Year of Original Installation or Replacement	No Information Provided for Compliance
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Asphalt/Fiberglass Shingle	<u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Concrete/Clay Tile	<u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Metal	<u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Built Up	<u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. Membrane	<u>12/14, 2017</u>	<u>FL14083-R17</u>	<u>2017</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Other <u> </u>	<u> </u> / <u> </u> / <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- A. All roof coverings listed above meet the FBC with a FBC or Miami-Dade Product Approval listing current at time of installation OR have a roofing permit application date on or after 3/1/02 OR the roof is original and built in 2004 or later.
- B. All roof coverings have a Miami-Dade Product Approval listing current at time of installation OR (for the HVHZ only) a roofing permit application after 9/1/1994 and before 3/1/2002 OR the roof is original and built in 1997 or later.
- C. One or more roof coverings do not meet the requirements of Answer "A" or "B".
- D. No roof coverings meet the requirements of Answer "A" or "B".

3. **Roof Deck Attachment:** What is the weakest form of roof deck attachment?

- A. Plywood/Oriented strand board (OSB) roof sheathing attached to the roof truss/rafter (spaced a maximum of 24" inches o.c.) by staples or 6d nails spaced at 6" along the edge and 12" in the field. -OR- Batten decking supporting wood shakes or wood shingles. -OR- Any system of screws, nails, adhesives, other deck fastening system or truss/rafter spacing that has an equivalent mean uplift less than that required for Options B or C below.
- B. Plywood/OSB roof sheathing with a minimum thickness of 7/16" inch attached to the roof truss/rafter (spaced a maximum of 24" inches o.c.) by 8d common nails spaced a maximum of 12" inches in the field. -OR- Any system of screws, nails, adhesives, other deck fastening system or truss/rafter spacing that is shown to have an equivalent or greater resistance 8d nails spaced a maximum of 12 inches in the field or has a mean uplift resistance of at least 103 psf.
- C. Plywood/OSB roof sheathing with a minimum thickness of 7/16" inch attached to the roof truss/rafter (spaced a maximum of 24" inches o.c.) by 8d common nails spaced a maximum of 6" inches in the field. -OR- Dimensional lumber/Tongue & Groove decking with a minimum of 2 nails per board (or 1 nail per board if each board is equal to or less than 6 inches in width). -OR- Any system of screws, nails, adhesives, other deck fastening system or truss/rafter spacing that is shown to have an equivalent

Inspectors Initials Property Address 401 N. Atlantic Avenue

or greater resistance than 8d common nails spaced a maximum of 6 inches in the field or has a mean uplift resistance of at least 182 psf.

D. Reinforced Concrete Roof Deck.

E. Other: _____

F. Unknown or unidentified.

G. No attic access.

4. **Roof to Wall Attachment:** What is the **WEAKEST** roof to wall connection? (Do not include attachment of hip/valley jacks within 5 feet of the inside or outside corner of the roof in determination of WEAKEST type)

A. Toe Nails

Truss/rafter anchored to top plate of wall using nails driven at an angle through the truss/rafter and attached to the top plate of the wall, or

Metal connectors that do not meet the minimal conditions or requirements of B, C, or D

Minimal conditions to qualify for categories B, C, or D. All visible metal connectors are:

Secured to truss/rafter with a minimum of three (3) nails, and

Attached to the wall top plate of the wall framing, or embedded in the bond beam, with less than a 1/2" gap from the blocking or truss/rafter and blocked no more than 1.5" of the truss/rafter, and free of visible severe corrosion.

B. Clips

Metal connectors that do not wrap over the top of the truss/rafter, or

Metal connectors with a minimum of 1 strap that wraps over the top of the truss/rafter and does not meet the nail position requirements of C or D, but is secured with a minimum of 3 nails.

C. Single Wraps

Metal connectors consisting of a single strap that wraps over the top of the truss/rafter and is secured with a minimum of 2 nails on the front side and a minimum of 1 nail on the opposing side.

D. Double Wraps

Metal Connectors consisting of 2 separate straps that are attached to the wall frame, or embedded in the bond beam, on either side of the truss/rafter where each strap wraps over the top of the truss/rafter and is secured with a minimum of 2 nails on the front side, and a minimum of 1 nail on the opposing side, or

Metal connectors consisting of a single strap that wraps over the top of the truss/rafter, is secured to the wall on both sides, and is secured to the top plate with a minimum of three nails on each side.

E. Structural Anchor bolts structurally connected or reinforced concrete roof.

F. Other: CONC. ROOF SLAB TO CONC. COLUMNS

G. Unknown or unidentified

H. No attic access

5. **Roof Geometry:** What is the roof shape? (Do not consider roofs of porches or carports that are attached only to the fascia or wall of the host structure over unenclosed space in the determination of roof perimeter or roof area for roof geometry classification).

A. Hip Roof Hip roof with no other roof shapes greater than 10% of the total roof system perimeter.

Total length of non-hip features: _____ feet; Total roof system perimeter: _____ feet

B. Flat Roof Roof on a building with 5 or more units where at least 90% of the main roof area has a roof slope of less than 2:12. Roof area with slope less than 2:12 _____ sq ft; Total roof area _____ sq ft

C. Other Roof Any roof that does not qualify as either (A) or (B) above.

6. **Secondary Water Resistance (SWR):** (standard underlayments or hot-mopped felts do not qualify as an SWR)

A. SWR (also called Sealed Roof Deck) Self-adhering polymer modified-bitumen roofing underlayment applied directly to the sheathing or foam adhesive SWR barrier (not foamed-on insulation) applied as a supplemental means to protect the dwelling from water intrusion in the event of roof covering loss.

B. No SWR.

C. Unknown or undetermined.

Inspectors Initials JA Property Address 401 N. Atlantic Avenue

*This verification form is valid for up to five (5) years provided no material changes have been made to the structure or inaccuracies found on the form.

7. **Opening Protection:** What is the weakest form of wind borne debris protection installed on the structure? **First**, use the table to determine the weakest form of protection for each category of opening. **Second**, (a) check one answer below (A, B, C, N, or X) based upon the lowest protection level for ALL Glazed openings **and** (b) check the protection level for all Non-Glazed openings (.1, .2, or .3) as applicable.

Opening Protection Level Chart Place an "X" in each row to identify all forms of protection in use for each opening type. Check only one answer below (A thru X), based on the weakest form of protection (lowest row) for any of the Glazed openings and indicate the weakest form of protection (lowest row) for Non-Glazed openings.		Glazed Openings				Non-Glazed Openings	
		Windows or Entry Doors	Garage Doors	Skylights	Glass Block	Entry Doors	Garage Doors
N/A	Not Applicable- there are no openings of this type on the structure						
A	Verified cyclic pressure & large missile (9-lb for windows doors/4.5 lb for skylights)						
B	Verified cyclic pressure & large missile (4-8 lb for windows doors/2 lb for skylights)						
C	Verified plywood/OSB meeting Table 1609.1.2 of the FBC 2007						
D	Verified Non-Glazed Entry or Garage doors indicating compliance with ASTM E 330, ANSI/DASMA 108, or PA/TAS 202 for wind pressure resistance						
N	Opening Protection products that appear to be A or B but are not verified	X				X	X
	Other protective coverings that cannot be identified as A, B, or C						
X	No Windborne Debris Protection						

- A. Exterior Openings Cyclic Pressure and 9-lb Large Missile (4.5 lb for skylights only)** All Glazed openings are protected at a minimum, with impact resistant coverings or products listed as wind borne debris protection devices in the product approval system of the State of Florida or Miami-Dade County and meet the requirements of one of the following for "Cyclic Pressure and Large Missile Impact" (Level A in the table above).
- Miami-Dade County PA 201, 202, and 203
 - Florida Building Code Testing Application Standard (TAS) 201, 202, and 203
 - American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) E 1886 and ASTM E 1996
 - Southern Standards Technical Document (SSTD) 12
 - For Skylights Only: ASTM E 1886 and ASTM E 1996
 - For Garage Doors Only: ANSI/DASMA 115
- A.1 All Non-Glazed openings classified as A in the table above, or no Non-Glazed openings exist
- A.2 One or More Non-Glazed openings classified as Level D in the table above, and no Non-Glazed openings classified as Level B, C, N, or X in the table above
- A.3 One or More Non-Glazed Openings is classified as Level B, C, N, or X in the table above
- B. Exterior Opening Protection- Cyclic Pressure and 4 to 8-lb Large Missile (2-4.5 lb for skylights only)** All Glazed openings are protected, at a minimum, with impact resistant coverings or products listed as windborne debris protection devices in the product approval system of the State of Florida or Miami-Dade County and meet the requirements of one of the following for "Cyclic Pressure and Large Missile Impact" (Level B in the table above):
- ASTM E 1886 and ASTM E 1996 (Large Missile - 4.5 lb.)
 - SSTD 12 (Large Missile - 4 lb. to 8 lb.)
 - For Skylights Only: ASTM E 1886 and ASTM E 1996 (Large Missile - 2 to 4.5 lb.)
- B.1 All Non-Glazed openings classified as A or B in the table above, or no Non-Glazed openings exist
- B.2 One or More Non-Glazed openings classified as Level D in the table above, and no Non-Glazed openings classified as Level C, N, or X in the table above
- B.3 One or More Non-Glazed openings is classified as Level C, N, or X in the table above
- C. Exterior Opening Protection- Wood Structural Panels meeting FBC 2007** All Glazed openings are covered with plywood/OSB meeting the requirements of Table 1609.1.2 of the FBC 2007 (Level C in the table above).
- C.1 All Non-Glazed openings classified as A, B, or C in the table above, or no Non-Glazed openings exist
- C.2 One or More Non-Glazed openings classified as Level D in the table above, and no Non-Glazed openings classified as Level N or X in the table above
- C.3 One or More Non-Glazed openings is classified as Level N or X in the table above

Inspectors Initials AA Property Address 401 N. Atlantic Avenue

*This verification form is valid for up to five (5) years provided no material changes have been made to the structure or inaccuracies found on the form.

- N. Exterior Opening Protection (unverified shutter systems with no documentation)** All Glazed openings are protected with protective coverings not meeting the requirements of Answer "A", "B", or "C" or systems that appear to meet Answer "A" or "B" with no documentation of compliance (Level N in the table above).
- N.1 All Non-Glazed openings classified as Level A, B, C, or N in the table above, or no Non-Glazed openings exist
- N.2 One or More Non-Glazed openings classified as Level D in the table above, and no Non-Glazed openings classified as Level X in the table above
- N.3 One or More Non-Glazed openings is classified as Level X in the table above
- X. None or Some Glazed Openings** One or more Glazed openings classified and Level X in the table above.

MITIGATION INSPECTIONS MUST BE CERTIFIED BY A QUALIFIED INSPECTOR.
 Section 627.711(2), Florida Statutes, provides a listing of individuals who may sign this form.

Qualified Inspector Name: CHARLES R. ADAMS	License Type: P.E.	License or Certificate #: 15113
Inspection Company: CHARLES R. ADAMS & ASSOC., INC.	Phone: 386-426-5583	


Qualified Inspector – I hold an active license as a: (check one)

- Home inspector licensed under Section 468.8314, Florida Statutes who has completed the statutory number of hours of hurricane mitigation training approved by the Construction Industry Licensing Board and completion of a proficiency exam.
- Building code inspector certified under Section 468.607, Florida Statutes.
- General, building or residential contractor licensed under Section 489.111, Florida Statutes.
- Professional engineer licensed under Section 471.015, Florida Statutes.
- Professional architect licensed under Section 481.213, Florida Statutes.
- Any other individual or entity recognized by the insurer as possessing the necessary qualifications to properly complete a uniform mitigation verification form pursuant to Section 627.711(2), Florida Statutes.

Individuals other than licensed contractors licensed under Section 489.111, Florida Statutes, or professional engineer licensed under Section 471.015, Florida Statutes, must inspect the structures personally and not through employees or other persons. Licensees under s.471.015 or s.489.111 may authorize a direct employee who possesses the requisite skill, knowledge, and experience to conduct a mitigation verification inspection.

I, CHARLES R. ADAMS (print name) am a qualified inspector and I personally performed the inspection or (licensed contractors and professional engineers only) I had my employee (_____) perform the inspection (print name of inspector) and I agree to be responsible for his/her work.

Qualified Inspector Signature: [Signature] Date: 7-30-18



An individual or entity who knowingly or through gross negligence provides a false or fraudulent mitigation verification form is subject to investigation by the Florida Division of Insurance Fraud and may be subject to administrative action by the appropriate licensing agency or to criminal prosecution. (Section 627.711(4)-(7), Florida Statutes) The Qualified Inspector who certifies this form shall be directly liable for the misconduct of employees as if the authorized mitigation inspector personally performed the inspection.

Homeowner to complete: I certify that the named Qualified Inspector or his or her employee did perform an inspection of the residence identified on this form and that proof of identification was provided to me or my Authorized Representative.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

An individual or entity who knowingly provides or utters a false or fraudulent mitigation verification form with the intent to obtain or receive a discount on an insurance premium to which the individual or entity is not entitled commits a misdemeanor of the first degree. (Section 627.711(7), Florida Statutes)

The definitions on this form are for inspection purposes only and cannot be used to certify any product or construction feature as offering protection from hurricanes.

Inspectors Initials [Signature] Property Address 401 N. Atlantic Avenue

*This verification form is valid for up to five (5) years provided no material changes have been made to the structure or inaccuracies found on the form.



City of New Smyrna Beach Building Department

2650 North Dixie Freeway
New Smyrna Beach, Florida 32168
Phone: (386) 410-2800
Fax: (386) 410-2805
www.cityofnsb.com

PERMIT NUMBER
201709920
Issue Date: 12/14/2017

Permit Type: GROUP V - NON-RESIDENTIAL MISC

Property Number	Street Address			
745519000001	401 SOUTH ATLANTIC AVENUE			
Zone Code	Flood Zone Code			
R-6	VE			
Owner Information		Contractor Information		
Name: WATERMARK CONDO COA Address: 401 N ATLANTIC AVE Address: NEW SMYRNA BEACH, FL 32169 Phone: 4122251542		Name: MANZELLA JOHN PATRICK Company Name: MANZELLA JOHN PATRICK Address: 4495 35TH ST Address: ORLANDO, FL 32811 Phone: 4076509541 License Number: CCC050475		
Property Setbacks	Front	Left	Right	Rear
Building Information				
Proposed Use: Construction Type: Number of Stories: Estimated Cost of Construction: \$ 166,000		Occupancy: Number of Units: Heated Sq. Ft: Unheated Sq. Ft:		

Project Description: GROUP V - NON-RESID. MISC.

Scope of Work:

REMOVE EXISTING ROOF DOWN TO CONCRETE DECK ADHERE 3" ISO INSULATION 1/4" TPAER PACKAGE. INSTALL FULLY ADHERED 60MIL TPO

Permit Details:

OWNER: WATERMARK CONDO COA
CONTRACTOR: MANZELLA JOHN PATRICK

App Role Phone: 4122251542
App Role Phone: 4076509541
App Role Phone:

The Contractor and the Owner hereby agree to comply with all applicable laws regulating the work and understand that it is their responsibility to inform this office of any changes in the plans and contractors by completing and submitting the required forms if necessary.

WARNING TO OWNERS: YOUR FAILURE TO RECORD A NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT MAY RESULT IN YOU PAYING TWICE FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO YOUR PROPERTY. IF YOU INTEND TO OBTAIN FINANCING, CONSULT WITH YOUR LENDER OR AN ATTORNEY BEFORE RECORDING YOUR NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT.

IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS PERMIT, THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROPERTY THAT MAY BE FOUND IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF THIS COUNTY, AND THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL PERMITS REQUIRED FROM OTHER GOVERNMENT ENTITIES SUCH AS WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS, STATE AGENCIES, OR FEDERAL AGENCIES.

Approving Agent	Date Issued
Building Official	12/14/2017

ANY PERMIT ISSUED EXPIRES SIX (6) MONTHS AFTER ISSUANCE IF NO INSPECTIONS HAVE BEEN MADE. ANY PERMIT ISSUED SHALL EXPIRE TWELVE (12) MONTHS AFTER ISSUANCE IF THE WORK IS DISCONTINUED.

TOTAL FEES:	\$ 1,300.71
--------------------	--------------------



NEMO|etc.

Certificate of Authorization #32455
353 Christian Street, Unit #13
Oxford, CT 06478
(203) 262-9245

ENGINEER

EVALUATE

TEST

CONSULT

CERTIFY

EVALUATION REPORT

Carlisle SynTec Systems

P.O. Box 7000
Carlisle, PA 17013
(717) 245-7264

Evaluation Report C33680.09.10-R17

FL14083-R17

Date of Issuance: 09/08/2010

Revision 17: 04/16/2018

SCOPE:

This Evaluation Report is issued under **Rule 61G20-3** and the applicable rules and regulations governing the use of construction materials in the State of Florida. The documentation submitted has been reviewed by Robert Nieminen, P.E. for use of the product under the Florida Building Code. The product described herein has been evaluated for compliance with the **6th Edition (2017) Florida Building Code** sections noted herein.

DESCRIPTION: Carlisle Sure-Weld TPO Single Ply Roof Systems

LABELING: Labeling shall be in accordance with the requirements of the Accredited Quality Assurance Agency noted herein.

CONTINUED COMPLIANCE: This Evaluation Report is valid until such time as the named product(s) changes, the referenced Quality Assurance documentation changes, or provisions of the Code that relate to the product change. Acceptance of this Evaluation Report by the named client constitutes agreement to notify Robert Nieminen, P.E. of any changes to the product(s), the Quality Assurance or the production facility location(s). NEMO|etc. requires a complete review of this Evaluation Report relative to updated Code requirements with each Code Cycle.

ADVERTISEMENT: The Evaluation Report number preceded by the words "NEMO|etc. Evaluated" may be displayed in advertising literature. If any portion of the Evaluation Report is displayed, then it shall be done in its entirety.

INSPECTION: Upon request, a copy of this entire Evaluation Report shall be provided to the user by the manufacturer or its distributors and shall be available for inspection at the job site at the request of the Building Official.

This Evaluation Report consists of pages 1 through 5, plus a 64-page Appendix.

Prepared by:

Robert J.M. Nieminen, P.E.
Florida Registration No. 59166, Florida DCA ANE1983



The facsimile seal appearing was authorized by Robert Nieminen, P.E. on 04/16/2018. This does not serve as an electronically signed document.

CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENCE:

1. NEMO ETC, LLC does not have, nor does it intend to acquire or will it acquire, a financial interest in any company manufacturing or distributing products it evaluates.
2. NEMO ETC, LLC is not owned, operated or controlled by any company manufacturing or distributing products it evaluates.
3. Robert Nieminen, P.E. does not have nor will acquire, a financial interest in any company manufacturing or distributing products for which the evaluation reports are being issued.
4. Robert Nieminen, P.E. does not have, nor will acquire, a financial interest in any other entity involved in the approval process of the product.
5. This is a building code evaluation. Neither NEMO|etc. nor Robert Nieminen, P.E. are, in any way, the Designer of Record for any project on which this Evaluation Report, or previous versions thereof, is/was used for permitting or design guidance unless retained specifically for that purpose.